



QUALITY CONTROL AND SELECTION OF TREATMENT STAGES
FOR MINERALIZED ARTESIAN WATER

Shakhboz Esanmurodov

*Assistant Lecturer, Tashkent State Transport University, Tashkent,
Uzbekistan.*

E-mail: shakhbozjonesanmurodov@gmail.com

Gulchekhra Rikhsihojaeva

*Associate Professor, Tashkent State Transport University, Tashkent,
Uzbekistan.*

E-mail: gulchexrarr@gmail.com

Abstract. The treatment of mineralized artesian water requires an approach based on the characteristics of each water source. Hardness, dissolved salts, iron and manganese, suspended particles, organic substances and microbiological indicators do not respond equally to a single treatment method. This thesis considers the importance of laboratory assessment and process selection, drawing on supplied manuscripts about ion-exchange sorbents and technologies for removing mineral salts and microcomponents. It presents a practical framework for choosing and monitoring treatment stages without claiming experimental results that were not reported in the source materials.

Keywords: artesian water, water quality, laboratory analysis, treatment technology, hardness, iron, disinfection.

Artesian groundwater is used for household, agricultural and drinking-water purposes. However, groundwater composition depends on geological conditions and may differ substantially between wells. A treatment system that works for one source may not provide the same result for another. Therefore, a sound treatment strategy starts with analysis of the raw water and identifies the constituents that need to be reduced before equipment and operating conditions are selected.



The aim of this thesis is to describe a quality-control-oriented approach to selecting treatment stages for mineralized artesian water. The discussion is based on the three supplied manuscripts concerning ion-exchange sorbents, removal of mineral salts and microcomponents, and the current state of artesian-water treatment.

1. Raw-water characterization. The first step is to obtain representative samples and determine the water characteristics relevant to the intended use. Depending on the source and treatment objective, the assessment may include pH, turbidity, total dissolved solids or mineralization, total hardness, calcium and magnesium, iron, manganese, and microbiological indicators. Additional parameters should be included when local conditions or the intended use make them relevant. The supplied manuscripts emphasize mineral salts, hardness and microcomponents, but do not provide a single universal analysis set or numerical limits applicable to every source.

2. Matching contaminants to treatment methods. Treatment stages should be selected according to the substances present and the performance required. Mechanical filtration is used to reduce suspended particles; aeration and oxidation may assist with certain forms of iron and other oxidizable constituents; cation exchange can reduce calcium- and magnesium-related hardness; activated carbon may adsorb some organic compounds; and disinfection is applied to control microbiological hazards. These processes have different functions and should not be treated as substitutes for one another. Where broad reduction of dissolved salts is required, the suitability of a desalination process must also be assessed.

3. Importance of process order. The sequence of treatment units affects reliability. Removing suspended particles before a resin bed can help reduce fouling. If iron or manganese threatens the performance of downstream equipment, appropriate oxidation and separation may be necessary. Ion-exchange units require a defined operating and regeneration regime. Final

disinfection should be designed for the system and its water-quality risks. The exact sequence must be established from laboratory results and confirmed through operation; the same arrangement cannot be assumed optimal for all wells.

4. Monitoring and performance evaluation. Quality control should compare water quality before and after each important treatment stage and at the final outlet. Useful indicators include hardness reduction, changes in iron or manganese, turbidity, mineralization, microbiological results where relevant, flow rate, pressure drop and the frequency of maintenance or regeneration. A removal efficiency can be calculated when valid paired measurements are available: $\text{efficiency (\%)} = [(C_{\text{in}} - C_{\text{out}}) / C_{\text{in}}] \times 100$, where C_{in} and C_{out} are the measured concentrations before and after a process. This formula is a method for evaluating future measurements, not a claim that such measurements were performed for this thesis.

Suggested quality-control table

Control point	What to assess	Why it matters
Raw-water inlet	Hardness, mineralization, iron/manganese, turbidity and relevant microbiology	Defines the treatment objective
After pretreatment	Turbidity and target constituents	Checks protection of downstream units
After ion exchange	Hardness and operating indicators	Checks softening performance and resin condition
Final outlet	Parameters required for intended use and applicable standards	Verifies the finished-water quality

Maintenance records	Regeneration, filter cleaning, flow and pressure changes	Helps identify performance decline early
---------------------	--	--

Conclusion

Reliable treatment of mineralized artesian water depends on identifying the actual water-quality problem, matching each treatment process to its intended function, and verifying performance through laboratory control. Ion exchange is particularly relevant when hardness reduction is required, but it does not by itself guarantee the removal of all dissolved salts or microbiological hazards. A practical treatment scheme should therefore be source-specific, maintainable and supported by measurements before and after treatment. Further research should collect comparable laboratory data, evaluate process efficiency over time and assess operating and waste-management costs.

REFERENCES

1. “Современное состояние ионообменных сорбентов и их применение в процессе очистки минерализованных артезианских вод.” Manuscript supplied by the user.
2. Rikhsihojaeva, G. R.; Esanmurodov, Sh. V. “Методика очистки минерализованных артезианских вод от минеральных солей и микрокомпонентов.” Manuscript supplied by the user.
3. “Изучение состояния технологии очистки минерализованных артезианских вод от минеральных солей.” Manuscript supplied by the user.