CLINICAL PROTOCOL FOR THE USE OF MICRO-IMPLANTS IN ORTHODONTIC TREATMENT: EFFICIENCY AND SAFETY STANDARDS

Yusupova Mohinur Oybek qizi

4th-year student of the Faculty of Dentistry, Asian International University

Gmail: mohinuryusupova115@gmail.com

Abstract: *This article presents a comprehensive clinical protocol for the application of temporary anchorage devices (TADs), specifically micro-implants (mini-screws), in modern orthodontic practice. Over the past decades, skeletal anchorage has revolutionized orthodontics by overcoming the limitations of traditional dental anchorage, allowing for precise, predictable tooth movement without reciprocal adverse effects. The article details the clinical and technical stages of micro-implant placement, including pre-operative diagnostics via cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), anatomical site selection, surgical insertion techniques, immediate loading guidelines, and preventative measures for common complications. Based on contemporary clinical literature and biomechanical analyses, the therapeutic advantages of skeletal anchorage—such as absolute control over dental movement, minimally invasive procedures, high patient compliance, and expanded boundaries of non-surgical treatment for complex dentofacial deformities—are thoroughly evaluated.*

Keywords: *orthodontic anchorage, micro-implants, temporary anchorage devices (TADs), mini-screws, skeletal anchorage, biomechanics, minimally invasive dentistry.*

Introduction

In orthodontics, the control of anchorage is one of the most critical factors determining the success of treatment. According to Newton's third law of motion, every action has an equal and opposite reaction. Historically, when orthodontists

applied force to move a specific tooth or group of teeth, the reactive force was absorbed by the supporting teeth (dental anchorage). This often resulted in unwanted reciprocal tooth movement, which compromised the final aesthetic and functional outcome. Traditional methods to reinforce anchorage, such as extraoral headgear or complex intraoral appliances (e.g., transpalatal arches, Nance holding arches), heavily relied on patient compliance and frequently caused discomfort or speech difficulties.

The introduction of temporary anchorage devices (TADs), specifically micro-implants or mini-screws, has brought about a paradigm shift in orthodontic biomechanics. Micro-implants provide skeletal anchorage, meaning they transfer the reactive force directly to the alveolar bone or cortical bone of the maxilla and mandible, bypassing the teeth entirely. This provides an absolute, unyielding anchor point from which teeth can be moved in three dimensions with high predictability. The clinical utility of mini-screws extends to intruding extruded molars, distalizing entire dental arches, correcting severe canting of the occlusal plane, and managing skeletal open or deep bites that previously required orthognathic surgery.

Materials and Methods: Step-by-Step Clinical Protocol

The successful implementation of micro-implants in orthodontic treatment depends on a precise, standardized clinical protocol. To ensure high primary stability and minimize the risk of root contact or implant failure, the following phases must be rigorously followed:

- **1. Pre-operative Evaluation and Safe Zone Mapping:** Detailed clinical examination combined with high-resolution imaging (panoramic radiographs or Cone-Beam Computed Tomography - CBCT) is mandatory. CBCT allows the clinician to evaluate the bone depth, bone density, and the exact interradicular space (the distance between adjacent tooth roots) at the planned insertion site. Common safe zones include the buccal interradicular space between the second premolar and first molar in both arches, the infrazygomatic crest of the maxilla, the mandibular buccal shelf, and the mid-palatal suture.

- **2. Patient Preparation and Local Anesthesia:** The patient's oral cavity is thoroughly disinfected using a 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate rinse. Although micro-implant placement is a minimally invasive procedure, local anesthesia is required. A minimal amount of topical anesthetic gel followed by a localized infiltration of 2% lidocaine (with 1:100,000 epinephrine) is administered directly into the attached gingiva. Over-anesthetizing the periodontal ligament is avoided, as patient sensation serves as an important warning sign if the screw approaches a tooth root.
- **3. Insertion Technique (Self-Drilling vs. Self-Tapping):** Modern orthodontic mini-screws are primarily self-drilling, meaning they can be inserted directly through the mucogingiva into the bone without pre-drilling a pilot hole. Using a manual driver or a low-speed handpiece, the mini-screw is inserted at an angle of 30 to 45 degrees to the long axis of the teeth in the maxilla (to increase bone-implant contact and avoid root damage) or 10 to 20 degrees in the mandible. Gentle, continuous manual torque is applied until the screw head is flush with the soft tissue, leaving enough clearance to attach orthodontic auxiliaries.
- **4. Post-operative Instructions and Loading:** Immediate loading (application of orthodontic force within 24-48 hours) is clinically acceptable and often preferred, as micro-implants rely on mechanical interlock rather than osseointegration. Light, continuous forces (ranging from 50g to 200g depending on the movement) are applied using nickel-titanium closed coil springs, elastic chains, or stainless steel wires. Patients are instructed to maintain excellent oral hygiene around the implant site using a soft-bristled toothbrush and a chlorhexidine-based mouthwash to prevent peri-implant mucositis.

Results and Discussion: Biomechanical Advantages and Stability

Clinical studies consistently demonstrate that micro-implants provide unparalleled control over orthodontic tooth movement. Key results of integrating this protocol into orthodontic practice include:

- **Absolute Anchorage:** By eliminating reciprocal forces on anchor teeth, orthodontists can achieve pure movement of the target teeth. For example, in bimaxillary protrusion cases, the extraction space can be fully utilized to retract anterior teeth without any mesial drift of the posterior molars.
- **Facial Esthetics and Non-Surgical Corrections:** Intrusion of posterior teeth using mini-screws allows for the counter-clockwise rotation of the mandible, effectively correcting skeletal open bites and improving the patient's facial profile without resorting to invasive orthognathic surgery.
- **High Success Rates:** With proper anatomical site selection and insertion technique, the success rate of orthodontic micro-implants ranges between 85% and 95%. Primary stability is primarily governed by cortical bone thickness and the insertion torque applied during the procedure.
- **Minimally Invasive Removal:** At the end of the active treatment phase, the removal of the micro-implant is extremely simple, pain-free, and typically performed without local anesthesia. The insertion site heals completely within a few days without leaving any permanent scars or bone defects.

Conclusion

The use of temporary anchorage devices, particularly micro-implants, has redefined the boundaries of orthodontic treatment. By providing absolute skeletal anchorage, mini-screws have made orthodontic tooth movement more predictable, efficient, and comfortable for patients. A successful clinical outcome relies heavily on thorough pre-operative planning, precise anatomical knowledge of safe insertion zones, and strict adherence to a sterile, controlled insertion protocol. As digital dentistry continues to advance, the integration of 3D-printed surgical guides for mini-screw placement will further enhance the safety and precision of this indispensable technique, establishing it as a gold standard in modern orthodontics.

References

1. Proffit W. R., Fields H. W., Larson B. E., Sarver D. M. Contemporary Orthodontics. — 6th ed. — Elsevier, 2018. — 744 p.

2. Nanda R. Esthetics and Biomechanics in Orthodontics. — 2nd ed. — Saunders,

2015. — 608 p.

3. Cope J. B. Temporary Anchorage Devices in Orthodontics. // Journal of Clinical Orthodontics. — 2021. — Vol. 41, No. 5. — P. 272-284.

4. Kanomi R. Mini-implants for orthodontic anchorage. // Journal of Clinical Orthodontics. — 1997. — Vol. 31, No. 11. — P. 763-767.